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Thank you both for the updates and continued communications.

## 2

From: Waldon Darrell J
Sent: Tuesday, October 11, 2022 7:27 AM


Subject: RE: Sportsman Meeting Update
Good morning, all -
Thanks, Gary. You covered it all. I am taking care of referral to TIGTA.
Mike - let me know if you have any questions.
Darrell
Darrell J. Waldon
Special Agent in Charge
Washington, D.C. Field Office
(C)


Subject: Sportsman Meeting Update

Mike,

Darrell asked me to shoot an update from todays meeting. Darrell - feel free to comment if I miss something.

1. Discussion about the agent leak - requested the sphere stay as small as possible
a. DOJ IG will be notified
b. $\mathrm{FBI}-\mathrm{HQ}$ is notified and they refer it to their Counter Intelligence squad in a field office for investigation
c. IRS-CI - We need to make a referral to TIGTA - What do you need from me on this action item?
2. Weiss stated that he is not the deciding person on whether charges are filed
a. I believe this to be a huge problem - inconsistent with DOJ public position and Merrick Garland testimony
b. Process for decision:
i. Needs DOJ Tax approval first - stated that DOJ Tax will give "discretion" (We explained what that means and why that is problematic)
ii. No venue in Delaware has been known since at least June 2021
iii. Went to D.C. USAO in early summer to request to charge there - Biden appointed USA said they could not charge in his district
3. USA Weiss requested Special counsel authority when it was sent to D.C and Main DOJ denied his request and told him to follow the process
iv. Mid-September they sent the case to the central district of California coinciding with the confirmation of the new biden appointed USA - decision is still pending
v. If CA does not support charging USA Weiss has no authority to charge in CA -
4. He would have to request permission to bring charges in CA from the Deputy Attorney General/Attorney General (unclear on which he said)
vi. With DOJ Tax only giving "discretion" they are not bound to bring the charges in CA and this case could end up without any charges
5. They are not going to charge $2014 / 2015$ tax years
a. I stated, for the record, that I did not concur with that decision and put on the record that IRS will have a lot of risk associated with this decision because there is still a large amount of unreported income in that year from Burisma that we have no mechanism to recover
b. Their reason not to charge it does not overcome the scheme and affirmative acts - in my opinion
6. FBI SAC asked the room if anyone thought the case had been politicized - we can discuss this is you prefer
7. No major investigative actions remain
8. Both us and the FBI brought up some general issues to include:
a. Communication issues
b. Update issues
c. These issues were surprisingly contentious

Always available to discuss. Have a great weekend!
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